

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,411	02/05/2004	Hideyasu Takatsuji	43888-294	3846	
	7590 12/07/2007	EXAMINER .			
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY. 600 13th Street, N.W.			MERCADO, JULIAN A		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/771,411	TAKATSUJI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Julian Mercado	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	NA CONTRACTOR AND CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	Paul Augustania	(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		tional effort amondone	at consoling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> /it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	red.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•
		•	
•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument that the combination of Okamura et al. with Kweon et al. is not readily apparent is not persuasive. Firstly, Kweon et al. does not disclose substituting Co or Mn with Mg or Al, as asserted by applicant. Instead, the patentees teach Co or Mn as an "A-metal" while Mg or Al are "B-metal" or "C-metal" types. See col. 4 line 37-64. These metals are present as proportions, not direct substitutions, and are maintained readable towards the claimed invention insofar as the relative amounts of these metals are within applicant's claimed range. See the detailed reasons set forth on page 2 of the January 24, 2007 Office action. Secondly, arguments drawn to Kweon et al. arguably teaching substitution of the Li site and not the Li site as allegedly claimed is not persuasive, as the claim is otherwise silent on this relationship in merely stating the range amounts for the coefficients a-c and x-z. Finally, the assertion that "the amount of substitution of Co in claim 1 (0.0002 - 0.008 molar ratio) is orders of magnitude less than those suggested in Okamura" is not found persuasive given that Kweon et al. teaches the required amounts, and insofar as Okamura et al. is relied upon solely to teach or at least suggest what the primary reference on its own does not teach. Thus, the addition of Na or K is maintained for the reasons made of record and as may be found in par. [0009] and par. [0011] of Kweon et al., i.e. maintaining the layer structure and chemical stability of the active material for lithium ion intercalation, which is the structure and function of both Okamura and Kweon. The examiner notes that arguments for the ODP rejection incorporate by reference those submitted for the prior art rejections, accordingly, the ODP rejection is maintained in parallel to the art rejections being maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian Mercado whose telephone number is (571) 272-1289. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP